

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

O.T., a Minor, by her Father and Legal
Guardian, Otis Lee Tanner; Otis Lee Tanner,
an Individual,

Plaintiffs

V.

Clark County School District, et al.,

Defendants

Case No.: 2:24-cv-01841-JAD-NJK

**Order Giving Plaintiff's Counsel until
12/31/24 to File Response to
Motion to Dismiss**

Otis Lee Tanner brings this action on behalf of himself and his minor daughter O.T. for that he alleges occurred while O.T. was a student in the Clark County School District.

11 The defendants filed a motion to dismiss on October 17, 2024,¹ while Tanner was looking for
12 legal representation. He finally found an attorney, and a notice of appearance was filed on
13 November 27, 2024.² But to date, no response to the motion to dismiss has been filed, and it is
14 long overdue. Local Rule 7-2(d) provides that “[t]he failure of an opposing party to file points
15 and authorities in response to any motion, except a motion under Fed. R. Civ. P. 56 or a motion
16 for attorney’s fees, constitutes a consent to the granting of the motion.” **IT IS ORDERED** that
17 **counsel for Tanner has until December 31, 2024, to file a response to the motion to dismiss**
18 **on behalf of the plaintiffs or the court will grant the motion to dismiss under Local Rule 7-**
19 **2(d).**

U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey
December 17, 2024

23 ||¹ ECF No. 8.

2 ECF No. 14.